DOCKET NO. **SA-516** 

EXHIBIT NO. 20F

#### NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C.

EXPLOSION OF AVIATION KEROSENE (JET A) VAPORS (22 pages)

### Explosion of Aviation Kerosene (Jet A) Vapors

J. E. Shepherd Graduate Aeronautical Laboratories California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

October 7, 1997



Sponsored by the National Transportation Safety Board under Order NTSB12-97-SP-0127

# Caltech Research Program

• Motivated by TWA 800 crash investigation



- Present Jet A data base inadequate
- Issues:
  - Chemical composition of fuel vapors vs liquid
    - \* Effect of temperature (T)
    - \* Effect of fuel amount (M/V)
  - How does flammability depend on ignition energy?
  - Laminar and turbulent flame speeds?
  - Combustion within multi-compartment, vented tanks?



### **Scope of Presentation**

Results of basic studies on Jet A

- Chemical composition
- vapor pressure
- Ignition energy and flammability
- Flame speed
- Explosion development

## **Chemical Composition I.**

• Kerosene is a mixture of many species,



Gas-Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer studies at CIT.

- Chemical composition is the key to understanding combustion
- New Studies needed for quantification
  - C1-C8 equivalance, headspace GC at University of Nevada, Reno (Woodrow)
  - Detailed speciation at Desert Research Insitute, Reno (Sagebiel)

Vapor and liquid composition are very different, depend on both temperature and mass loading.

### **Chemical Composition II**



Results of UNR/DRI studies

- Mean molar mass of vapor 120 to 140 depends on fuel origin, handling & weathering
- H/C ratio of 1.8 in vapor
- Over 160 species in vapor, up to C=12.
- Depletion of light ends observed for small mass loading
- Light ends enhanced at higher temperatures

#### Significance of Vapor Pressure $P_{\sigma}$

- Liquid evaporation creates flammable vapor-air mixtures
- $P_{\sigma}$  determines fuel-air mixture fraction

mole:  $X = \frac{P_{\sigma}(T_{fuel})}{P_{air}}$  mass:  $f = \frac{P_{\sigma}(T_{fuel})}{P_{air}} \frac{W_{fuel}}{W_{air}}$ 

• Flammability limits



Determines peak pressure caused by combustion

$$\Delta P_{max} = \frac{W_{fuel}}{W_{air}} \frac{q}{c_v T_1} P_{\sigma}(T_{fuel})$$

### **Vapor Pressure Measurements**

Issues:

- dissolved air. (degassing)
- multicomponent (stirring)
- batch dependent
- Reid method inadequate
- existing correlations unreliable
- New measurements needed



#### **Vapor Pressure Results**

Raw data, simple mixture model:



Comparison with published "data":





Issues:

- wide range of  $C_nH_m$  in Jet A
- preferential evaporation of "light ends"
- dependence of  $P_{\sigma}$ , composition on M/V

Simple model:

- use 8 components from UNR measurements
  - mixture vapor pressure

$$P_{\sigma} = \sum x_i \gamma_i P_{\sigma,i}$$

- activity coefficients  $\gamma_i$  estimated  $\approx$  1.
- Requires validation

## Flammability and Explosion

- Flammability depends on many factors
  - Ignition source (energy, temperature)
  - Fuel state (vapor vs mist, mass loading)
  - Turbulence
  - Temperature
  - Pressure

Standard approaches:

 Flash point test (ASTM D56) Jet A: 40 to 60 °C LAX Jet A, 46 to 48°C

10 to 15  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  above explosion limits. Not representative of actual explosion behavior.

• Vessel studies.

Previous work used fixed energy (16-25 J), large mass loading (100 to 120 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

Not representative of many ignition sources, and empty fuel tank conditions.

#### **Previous Studies on Flammability**

- L. J. Nestor 1967 "Investigation of Turbine...", Report DS-67-7, Naval Air Propulsion Test Center.
- E. E. Ott 1970 "Effects of Fuel Slosh..." AFAPL-TR-70-65.
- T. C. Kosvic et al. 1971 "Analysis of Aircraft Fuel...", AFAPL-TR-71-7.



## Ignition Energy



Propane-Air mixtures, 300 K, 1 bar

- Minimum of 0.25 mJ occurs for rich mixtures
- Strong dependence on concentration
- Ignition energy very high (100 J) near LFL
- Not previously measured for JET A vapor
- thermal sources require separate consideration

# **CIT Ignition Testing**

Emphasizes:

- fuel mass loading M/V
- spray injection vs stagnant pools
- ignition energy
- jet ignition vs sparks

Ignition vessel:



- 1.84 liter volume
- video schlieren
- spark ignition source
- P(t), T(t)
  - 1 mJ to 100 J
  - 3.3 mm gap





### **Explosion Development**

#### • Issues

- peak pressure
- burn time
- flame speed
- quenching behavior
- turbulent flame speed
- multi-compartment burns
- Parameters:
  - mass loading M/V
  - fuel temperature T
  - ambient pressure P
  - ignition source, fans, partitiions, etc.

# **HYJET** Facility









- Effect of fuel loading and state
- 1180 liter vessel
- Stagnant puddle of fuel (1 gal) in 4 cases
- fan on in one case
- spray injection in one case

## Summary I.

- vapor composition very different than bulk liquid
- vapor pressure alone not useful without vapor composition
- multicomponent fuels do not have unique vapor pressure
- mass loading M/V affects composition
- flash point is not a useful characterization of explosion hazard

### Summary II.

- MIE a strong function of composition
- .25 mJ not characteristic of near limit fuels
- MIE of Jet A is 100 J at  $35^{\circ}$ C
- MIE of Jet A is < 1 mJ at  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$
- mass loading M/V effect mild for MIE and peak pressure
- $\Delta P_{max} = 4$  bar at 40 to 55°C ( $P_{\circ} = .585$  bar) for  $M/V \ge 3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

### Acknowledgements

- Merritt Birky & Vern Ellingstad (NTSB)
- Julian Lee (CIT)
- Chris Krok (CIT)
- Jim Woodrow (UNR)
- John Sagebiel (DRI)